

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,639	09/16/2003	Bryan Eric Aupperle	RSW920030168US1(119)	1931
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER	
Steven M. Greenberg CHRISTOPHER & WEISBERG,P.A. 220 EAST LAS OLAS BOULEVARD SUITE 2040 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301			PHAM, TOAN NGOC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2632	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/663,639	AUPPERLE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Toan N. Pham	2632			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ -				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-8 and 15-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fellenstein et al. (US 6,747,555).

Regarding claim 9: Fellenstein et al. discloses a tracking system comprising a radio frequency identification (RFID) tag affixed to a personal article (28-31); a plurality of RFID tracking processors (18, 19) coupled to a corresponding plurality of pervasive devices managed by a corresponding plurality of subscribers; and, a central command (40) communicatively coupled to said pervasive devices (Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 21-62).

Regarding claim 10: Fellenstein et al. discloses the tracking processor disposed at a stationary location within a fixed region (col. 6, line 49-col. 7, line17; Fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fellenstein et al. (US 6,747,555).

Regarding claim 11: Fellenstein et al. discloses the location is at a point of egress as seen in Fig. 5 of the nursery (512); kitchen (511) and the office (513). Fellenstein et al. does not disclose a kiosk; however, it is a well-known stationary information station located in various public environments to assist the user of information regarding the local public environment. Thus, placing the tracking unit in a certain location within the environment is merely a matter of design choice for tracking the environment.

Regarding claim 12: Fellenstein et al. does not disclose the region being the public region as a shopping mall, an amusement park, an airport, a bus station and a train station; however, it is merely a matter of design choice for placing the tracking unit in a certain location within the environment for the purpose to tracking an item in a particular environment.

Regarding claim 13: Fellenstein et al. disclose the article is worn by the dog; thus, one would obviously recognizes that the article might be worn by anyone being monitored.

Art Unit: 2632

Regarding claim 14: Fellenstein et al. discloses the article comprises a baby crib; thus, one would obviously recognizes that the article might be worn by anyone being monitored.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10 and 15-17 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of Martin et al. (US 6,727,810), Kreiner et al. (US 6,900,731), Beri et al. (US 6,353,390), Struble et al. (US 6,433,685), Land (US 6,917,290), and Lastinger et al. (US 6,552,661) are cited to show a variety of article tracking systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan N. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2967. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 8, 2005

TOAN N. PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER